Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/010,199	BUTLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Dipakkumar Gandhi	2133
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Dipakkumar Gandhi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>George Pappas</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 May 2005	Time: <u>4:20 PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 21	·	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  In the amendment received on 11/29/2004, claim 21 is amended, but the label for claim 21 is "Original". The label for claim 21 is corrected to —Currently Amended—. The applicants' attorney George Pappas agreed for this correction.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		•
	·	
Dade		• 1
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)